

Boyuan Bringelly Pty Ltd
Level 6, 5 Martin Place
SYDNEY NSW 2000

Project 92225.04
17 June 2022
R.002.Rev2
LOC

Attention: Adam Carmody

Email: adam.carmody@bhlgroup.com.au

**Preliminary Groundwater Investigation
Proposed Rezoning
621 - 705 The Northern Road, Cobbitty, NSW**

1. Introduction

Douglas Partners Pty Ltd (DP) were commissioned by Boyuan Bringelly Pty Ltd to conduct a preliminary groundwater investigation at 621 – 705 The Northern Road, Cobbitty (the site). The site location is shown on Drawing 1, attached.

It is noted that the conclusions of this groundwater investigation were based on a previous Indicative Layout which considered the entirety of Sub-Precinct 5. The current ILP only incorporates Lots 2 & 4 in DP 1216380, Lot 2 in DP 1241819 and Lot 500 in DP 1231858 as shown in Drawing 1.

The conclusions of this report remain relevant, providing a holistic assessment of the precinct to inform future development on the subject site. It is intended this report will be updated to reflect the refined ILP and any comments received following public notification.

DP previously prepared a *Report on Preliminary Site Investigation (Contamination), Proposed Rezoning, 621 – 705 The Northern Road, Cobbitty, NSW, Project 92225.04.R.001.Rev0* dated August 2021 (the PSI) and *Report on Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Rezoning, 621 – 705 The Northern Road, Cobbitty, NSW, Project 92225.02.R.001.Rev0* dated August 2021.

The purpose of this investigation was to assess any impacts that the proposed development may have on groundwater.

2. Scope of Works


The scope of work undertaken was as follows:

- Desktop review of Groundwater Dependant Ecosystems;
- Drilling of five boreholes across the site where access was made available;
- Conversion of the five boreholes into groundwater wells;

- Laboratory analysis of four groundwater samples for chemicals of potential concern; and
- Provision of this report.

3. Groundwater Dependant Ecosystem Review

Review of the *Groundwater Dependant Ecosystem Atlas* (BOM, 2020) did identify a Groundwater Dependant Ecosystem (GDE) of Cumberland Shale Hills Woodland and Cumberland River Flat Forest marginally within the southern boundary and northern boundary of the site, respectively (as shown on Figure 1 below). Groundwater at the site is inferred to generally flow towards the north, and therefore is unlikely to impact on the GDE. To the north of the site, GDEs of Cumberland Shale Hills Woodland and Cumberland River Flat Forest were identified approximately between 400 m to 900 m from the site boundary and were associated with creeks and drainage lines. As stormwater will be directed toward



these areas as part of development, it is not considered likely that there will be any impact from development with suitable controls.

4. Field Work Methodology and Observations

Field investigations were undertaken by a DP Environmental Engineer on 21 and 24 February 2020. The field work involved the following:

- Five boreholes were drilled using track mounted drill rigs (Comacchio GEO 405 and Geoprobe 6600) to a maximum depth of 7.9 m bgl using solid flight augers and rotary air blast; and
- All five boreholes were converted into groundwater monitoring wells in accordance with *Minimum Construction Requirements for Water Bores* in Australia (LWBC, 2003). Groundwater wells were constructed of Class 18 50 mm PVC pipe with slotted PVC casing 3 m in length installed at the following depths:
 - o GW01 – between 4.0 m and 7.0 m bgl;
 - o GW02 – between 3.9 m and 6.9 m bgl;
 - o GW03 – between 4.6 m and 7.6 m bgl;
 - o GW04 – between 4.9 m and 7.9 m bgl; and
 - o GW05 – between 4.0 m and 7.0 m bgl.

The locations of the boreholes are shown on Drawing 1, attached. The borehole logs, including the construction details of the wells, are attached, together with notes defining classification methods and descriptive terms.

Sampling was conducted on 10 March 2020 using a disposable bailer. The groundwater samples were collected in HCL preserved 40 mL vials, 250 mL glass amber bottles and 200 mL nitric acid preserved plastic bottles. The groundwater samples were filtered in the field for dissolved metals analysis. The groundwater samples were sent to a National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA) accredited laboratory, Envirolab Services at Chatswood NSW for analysis including metals/metalloids, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), total recoverable hydrocarbons (TRH), benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene (BTEX), pH, electrical conductivity and cation exchange capacity. GW5 was not sampled as only four samples were deemed necessary for the assessment.

Figure 1: GDE in close proximity to the site

5. Groundwater Assessment Criteria

The groundwater results were compared against Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (ADWG 2019), and the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines (ANZG 2018) default guideline values (DGV) for freshwater quality, 95% level of protection of species. Comparison against drinking water guidelines has been adopted as an initial conservative screen. The adopted criteria are provided in the attached Table 1.

6. Results

6.1 Gauging Results

Water was struck at approximately 7 m in BH4 during drilling. The other wells were dry during drilling but made water after installation. Groundwater levels are affected by factors such as soil permeability and weather conditions and will vary with time.

Table 1: Depth to Groundwater on 10 March 2020

Location	Depth to Groundwater (m bgl)
GW1	5.78
GW2	1.46
GW3	3.48
GW4	0.98
GW5	2.26

6.2 Analytical Results

The sample identification, analytical results and the adopted guideline values are summarised in Table 1, attached. The laboratory certificates and chain-of-custody documentation are also attached.

All samples returned results below the laboratory practical quantification limit (PQL) for PAH, TRH and BTEX. Slightly elevated concentrations of cadmium, copper, nickel and zinc were above the DGVs but were found to be within the drinking water guidelines. All remaining analytes were either below the PQL or within the adopted guidelines.

Electrical conductivity results show that the water was saline (as expected for groundwater from the Wianamatta Shales).

7. Conclusions

Groundwater wells were installed in drainage lines or near existing surface water bodies and as such are likely to be slightly higher than the levels at which groundwater at other locations at the site is expected. Based on the geology at the site, it is expected that the hydraulic conductivity of the surrounding soils and rock will be low and this was confirmed by the observation that the wells were all dry during construction (with the exception of BH4) though made water over time.

Laboratory results indicate that groundwater beneath the site contains elevated metals (cadmium, copper, nickel and zinc) concentrations above the DGVs (but were found to be within the drinking water guidelines). As the results were only marginally above the DGVs and relatively evenly distributed across the site, it is considered that these are indicative of natural background concentrations and are not considered to prevent any potential development proposed for the site.

The GDEs to the north of the site were associated with creeks and drainage lines. As stormwater will be directed toward these areas as part of development, it is not considered likely that there will be any impact from development. The GDE to the south is inferred to be in a separate groundwater catchment to the majority of the site and is unlikely to be impacted by the current development.

Based on the findings of the current investigation, further groundwater investigation at the site is not considered to be required at this time.

8. References

ANZG (2018), *Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality*. Australian and New Zealand Governments and Australian state and territory governments, Canberra ACT, Australia. Available at www.waterquality.gov.au/anz-guidelines.

BOM (2020), *Groundwater Dependant Ecosystem Atlas*. Australian Government Bureau of Meteorology (online site accessed in August 2020).

DP Report on Preliminary Site Investigation for Contamination, Due Diligence, 1037 The Northern Road, Bringelly, NSW, Project 92336.03.R.001.Rev0 dated 6 October 2020.

NEPC. (2013). *National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999 (as amended 2013) [NEPM]*. Australian Government Publishing Services Canberra: National Environment Protection Council.

9. Limitations

Douglas Partners Pty Ltd (DP) has prepared this report for this project at 621 – 705 The Northern Road, Cobbitty in accordance with DP's proposal MAC180379.P.001.Rev1 dated 9 February 2021. The work was carried out under DP's Conditions of Engagement. This report is provided for the exclusive use of Boyuan Bringelly Pty Ltd for this project only and for the purposes as described in the report. It should not be used by or relied upon for other projects or purposes on the same or other site or by a third party. Any party so relying upon this report beyond its exclusive use and purpose as stated above, and without the express written consent of DP, does so entirely at its own risk and without recourse to DP for any loss or damage. In preparing this report DP has necessarily relied upon information provided by the client and/or their agents.

The results provided in the report are indicative of the subsurface conditions on the site only at the specific sampling and/or testing locations, and then only to the depths investigated and at the time the work was carried out. Subsurface conditions can change abruptly due to variable geological processes and also as a result of human influences. Such changes may occur after DP's field testing has been completed.

DP's advice is based upon the conditions encountered during this investigation. The accuracy of the advice provided by DP in this report may be affected by undetected variations in ground conditions across the site between and beyond the sampling and/or testing locations. The advice may also be limited by budget constraints imposed by others or by site accessibility.

The assessment of atypical safety hazards arising from this advice is restricted to the groundwater components set out in this report and based on known project conditions and stated design advice and assumptions. While some recommendations for safe controls may be provided, detailed 'safety in design' assessment is outside the current scope of this report and requires additional project data and assessment.

This report must be read in conjunction with all of the attached and should be kept in its entirety without separation of individual pages or sections. DP cannot be held responsible for interpretations or conclusions made by others unless they are supported by an expressed statement, interpretation, outcome or conclusion stated in this report.

This report, or sections from this report, should not be used as part of a specification for a project, without review and agreement by DP. This is because this report has been written as advice and opinion rather than instructions for construction.

Although the sampling plan adopted for this investigation is considered appropriate to achieve the stated project objectives, there are necessarily parts of the site that have not been sampled and analysed. This is either due to undetected variations in ground conditions or to budget constraints (as discussed above), or to vegetation preventing visual inspection and reasonable access. It is therefore considered possible that HBM, including asbestos, may be present in unobserved or untested parts of the site, between and beyond sampling locations, and hence no warranty can be given that asbestos is not present.

Please contact the undersigned if you have any questions on this matter.

Yours faithfully

Douglas Partners Pty Ltd

Reviewed by


Lachlan Clement
Environmental Scientist

pp *L Russell*

Christopher C Kline
Principal

pp *L Russell*

Attachments: Drawing 1
Borehole Logs
Table 1: Groundwater Results Summary
Laboratory Certificates and Chain-of-Custody documentation



Legend


- BHL Land
- Lot and DP
- Groundwater Well Locations
- Precinct Boundary

BOREHOLE LOG

CLIENT: Boyuan Bringelly Pty Ltd
PROJECT: Proposed Rezoning
LOCATION: 621 - 705 The Northern Road, Cobbitty, NSW

SURFACE LEVEL: --
EASTING: 290132
NORTHING: 6237715
DIP/AZIMUTH: 90°/--

BORE No: GW1
PROJECT No: 92225.02
DATE: 21/2/2020
SHEET 1 OF 1

RL	Depth (m)	Description of Strata	Graphic Log	Sampling & In Situ Testing				Water	Well Construction Details	
				Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments			
	0.05	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY: brown, with rootlets Silty CLAY: pale grey and brown								
	1									
	2									
	3	3.0 SHALE: pale grey and brown								
	4									
	5									
	6									
	7									
	7.1	Bore discontinued at 7.1m - limit of investigation								
	8									
	9									

RIG: Comacchio GEO 405

DRILLER: Terratest

LOGGED: ERL

CASING:

TYPE OF BORING: SFA and rotary air blast

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed whilst augering

REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA94 Zone 56.

SAMPLING & IN SITU TESTING LEGEND

A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)
B	Bulk sample	P	Piston sample	PL(A)	Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)
BLK	Block sample	U	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa)
C	Core drilling	W	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)
D	Disturbed sample	>	Water seep	sp	Standard penetration test
E	Environmental sample	≡	Water level	S	Shear vane (kPa)
				V	Shear vane (kPa)

BOREHOLE LOG

CLIENT: Boyuan Bringelly Pty Ltd
PROJECT: Proposed Rezoning
LOCATION: 621 - 705 The Northern Road, Cobbitty, NSW

SURFACE LEVEL: --
EASTING: 290327
NORTHING: 6237683
DIP/AZIMUTH: 90°/--

BORE No: GW2
PROJECT No: 92225.02
DATE: 21/2/2020
SHEET 1 OF 1

RL	Depth (m)	Description of Strata	Graphic Log	Sampling & In Situ Testing				Water	Well Construction Details	
				Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments			
	0.05	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY: brown, with rootlets Silty CLAY: orange brown and grey								
	1									
	2									
	2.5	SHALE: pale grey, possibly some sandstone								
	3									
	4									
	5									
	6									
	7									
	7.1	Bore discontinued at 7.1m - limit of investigation								
	8									
	9									

RIG: Comacchio GEO 405

DRILLER: Terratest

LOGGED: ERL

CASING:

TYPE OF BORING: SFA and rotary air blast

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed whilst augering

REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA94 Zone 56.

SAMPLING & IN SITU TESTING LEGEND

A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PLD	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)
B	Bulk sample	P	Piston sample	PL(A)	Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)
BLK	Block sample	U	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa)
C	Core drilling	W	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)
D	Disturbed sample	>	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test
E	Environmental sample	≡	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)

BOREHOLE LOG

CLIENT: Boyuan Bringelly Pty Ltd
PROJECT: Proposed Rezoning
LOCATION: 621 - 705 The Northern Road, Cobbitty, NSW

SURFACE LEVEL: --
EASTING: 289923
NORTHING: 6237057
DIP/AZIMUTH: 90°/--

BORE No: GW3
PROJECT No: 92225.02
DATE: 24/2/2020
SHEET 1 OF 1

RL	Depth (m)	Description of Strata	Graphic Log	Sampling & In Situ Testing				Water	Well Construction Details	
				Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments			
	0.05	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY: brown, with rootlets								
		Silty CLAY: red brown and grey mottled								
		- becoming grey and orange mottled below 0.5m								
	1									
	2								grout	
	3									
	3.5	SHALE: pale brown						10-03-21	bentonite	
	4									
	5								sand	
	6								screen	
	7									
	7.7	Bore discontinued at 7.7m								
		- limit of investigation								
	8									
	9									

RIG: Comacchio GEO 405

DRILLER: Terratest

LOGGED: ERL

CASING:

TYPE OF BORING: SFA and rotary air blast

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed whilst augering

REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA94 Zone 56.

SAMPLING & IN SITU TESTING LEGEND



A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PLD	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)
B	Bulk sample	P	Piston sample	PL(A)	Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)
BLK	Block sample	U	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa)
C	Core drilling	W	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)
D	Disturbed sample	>	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test
E	Environmental sample	≡	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)

BOREHOLE LOG

CLIENT: Boyuan Bringelly Pty Ltd
PROJECT: Proposed Rezoning
LOCATION: 621 - 705 The Northern Road, Cobbitty, NSW

SURFACE LEVEL: --
EASTING: 289665
NORTHING: 6236789
DIP/AZIMUTH: 90°/--

BORE No: GW4
PROJECT No: 92225.02
DATE: 24/2/2020
SHEET 1 OF 1

RL	Depth (m)	Description of Strata	Graphic Log	Sampling & In Situ Testing				Water	Well Construction Details	
				Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments			
	0.1	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY: brown, with rootlets Silty CLAY: brown and grey						10.03-21		
	1									
	2								grout	
	3									
	3.5	SHALE: pale brown and grey								
	4								bentonite	
	5									
	6	- becoming dark grey below 6.0m							sand	
	7								screen	
	8	Bore discontinued at 8.0m - limit of investigation								
	9									

RIG: Comacchio GEO 405

DRILLER: Terratest

LOGGED: ERL

CASING:

TYPE OF BORING: SFA and rotary air blast

WATER OBSERVATIONS: Groundwater observed whilst augering at 7.3m

REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA94 Zone 56.

SAMPLING & IN SITU TESTING LEGEND

A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PLD	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)
B	Bulk sample	P	Piston sample	PL(A)	Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)
BLK	Block sample	U	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa)
C	Core drilling	W	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)
D	Disturbed sample	W	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test
E	Environmental sample	W	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)

BOREHOLE LOG

CLIENT: Boyuan Bringelly Pty Ltd
PROJECT: Proposed Rezoning
LOCATION: 621 - 705 The Northern Road, Cobbitty, NSW

SURFACE LEVEL: --
EASTING: 289665
NORTHING: 6236789
DIP/AZIMUTH: 90°/--

BORE No: GW5
PROJECT No: 92225.02
DATE: 21/2/2020
SHEET 1 OF 1

RL	Depth (m)	Description of Strata	Graphic Log	Sampling & In Situ Testing				Water	Well Construction Details	
				Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments			
	0.05	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY: brown, with rootlets Silty CLAY: red brown and orange brown								
	1									
	2									
	3	- becoming grey and red mottled below 3.0m								
	4									
	4.5	SHALE: pale brown								
	5									
	6									
	7	Bore discontinued at 7.0m - limit of investigation								
	8									
	9									

RIG: Comacchio GEO 405

DRILLER: Terratest

LOGGED: ERL

CASING:

TYPE OF BORING: SFA and rotary air blast

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed whilst augering

REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA94 Zone 56. Not bailed

SAMPLING & IN SITU TESTING LEGEND

A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)
B	Bulk sample	P	Piston sample	PL(A)	Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)
BLK	Block sample	U	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa)
C	Core drilling	W	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)
D	Disturbed sample	W	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test
E	Environmental sample	W	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)

About this Report

Douglas Partners



Introduction

These notes have been provided to amplify DP's report in regard to classification methods, field procedures and the comments section. Not all are necessarily relevant to all reports.

DP's reports are based on information gained from limited subsurface excavations and sampling, supplemented by knowledge of local geology and experience. For this reason, they must be regarded as interpretive rather than factual documents, limited to some extent by the scope of information on which they rely.

Copyright

This report is the property of Douglas Partners Pty Ltd. The report may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Conditions of Engagement for the commission supplied at the time of proposal. Unauthorised use of this report in any form whatsoever is prohibited.

Borehole and Test Pit Logs

The borehole and test pit logs presented in this report are an engineering and/or geological interpretation of the subsurface conditions, and their reliability will depend to some extent on frequency of sampling and the method of drilling or excavation. Ideally, continuous undisturbed sampling or core drilling will provide the most reliable assessment, but this is not always practicable or possible to justify on economic grounds. In any case the boreholes and test pits represent only a very small sample of the total subsurface profile.

Interpretation of the information and its application to design and construction should therefore take into account the spacing of boreholes or pits, the frequency of sampling, and the possibility of other than 'straight line' variations between the test locations.

Groundwater

Where groundwater levels are measured in boreholes there are several potential problems, namely:

- In low permeability soils groundwater may enter the hole very slowly or perhaps not at all during the time the hole is left open;

- A localised, perched water table may lead to an erroneous indication of the true water table;
- Water table levels will vary from time to time with seasons or recent weather changes. They may not be the same at the time of construction as are indicated in the report; and
- The use of water or mud as a drilling fluid will mask any groundwater inflow. Water has to be blown out of the hole and drilling mud must first be washed out of the hole if water measurements are to be made.

More reliable measurements can be made by installing standpipes which are read at intervals over several days, or perhaps weeks for low permeability soils. Piezometers, sealed in a particular stratum, may be advisable in low permeability soils or where there may be interference from a perched water table.

Reports

The report has been prepared by qualified personnel, is based on the information obtained from field and laboratory testing, and has been undertaken to current engineering standards of interpretation and analysis. Where the report has been prepared for a specific design proposal, the information and interpretation may not be relevant if the design proposal is changed. If this happens, DP will be pleased to review the report and the sufficiency of the investigation work.

Every care is taken with the report as it relates to interpretation of subsurface conditions, discussion of geotechnical and environmental aspects, and recommendations or suggestions for design and construction. However, DP cannot always anticipate or assume responsibility for:

- Unexpected variations in ground conditions. The potential for this will depend partly on borehole or pit spacing and sampling frequency;
- Changes in policy or interpretations of policy by statutory authorities; or
- The actions of contractors responding to commercial pressures.

If these occur, DP will be pleased to assist with investigations or advice to resolve the matter.

About this Report

Site Anomalies

In the event that conditions encountered on site during construction appear to vary from those which were expected from the information contained in the report, DP requests that it be immediately notified. Most problems are much more readily resolved when conditions are exposed rather than at some later stage, well after the event.

Information for Contractual Purposes

Where information obtained from this report is provided for tendering purposes, it is recommended that all information, including the written report and discussion, be made available. In circumstances where the discussion or comments section is not relevant to the contractual situation, it may be appropriate to prepare a specially edited document. DP would be pleased to assist in this regard and/or to make additional report copies available for contract purposes at a nominal charge.

Site Inspection

The company will always be pleased to provide engineering inspection services for geotechnical and environmental aspects of work to which this report is related. This could range from a site visit to confirm that conditions exposed are as expected, to full time engineering presence on site.



Sampling

Sampling is carried out during drilling or test pitting to allow engineering examination (and laboratory testing where required) of the soil or rock.

Disturbed samples taken during drilling provide information on colour, type, inclusions and, depending upon the degree of disturbance, some information on strength and structure.

Undisturbed samples are taken by pushing a thin-walled sample tube into the soil and withdrawing it to obtain a sample of the soil in a relatively undisturbed state. Such samples yield information on structure and strength, and are necessary for laboratory determination of shear strength and compressibility. Undisturbed sampling is generally effective only in cohesive soils.

Test Pits

Test pits are usually excavated with a backhoe or an excavator, allowing close examination of the in-situ soil if it is safe to enter into the pit. The depth of excavation is limited to about 3 m for a backhoe and up to 6 m for a large excavator. A potential disadvantage of this investigation method is the larger area of disturbance to the site.

Large Diameter Augers

Boreholes can be drilled using a rotating plate or short spiral auger, generally 300 mm or larger in diameter commonly mounted on a standard piling rig. The cuttings are returned to the surface at intervals (generally not more than 0.5 m) and are disturbed but usually unchanged in moisture content. Identification of soil strata is generally much more reliable than with continuous spiral flight augers, and is usually supplemented by occasional undisturbed tube samples.

Continuous Spiral Flight Augers

The borehole is advanced using 90-115 mm diameter continuous spiral flight augers which are withdrawn at intervals to allow sampling or in-situ testing. This is a relatively economical means of drilling in clays and sands above the water table. Samples are returned to the surface, or may be collected after withdrawal of the auger flights, but they are disturbed and may be mixed with soils from the sides of the hole. Information from the drilling (as distinct from specific sampling by SPTs or undisturbed samples) is of relatively low

reliability, due to the remoulding, possible mixing or softening of samples by groundwater.

Non-core Rotary Drilling

The borehole is advanced using a rotary bit, with water or drilling mud being pumped down the drill rods and returned up the annulus, carrying the drill cuttings. Only major changes in stratification can be determined from the cuttings, together with some information from the rate of penetration. Where drilling mud is used this can mask the cuttings and reliable identification is only possible from separate sampling such as SPTs.

Continuous Core Drilling

A continuous core sample can be obtained using a diamond tipped core barrel, usually with a 50 mm internal diameter. Provided full core recovery is achieved (which is not always possible in weak rocks and granular soils), this technique provides a very reliable method of investigation.

Standard Penetration Tests

Standard penetration tests (SPT) are used as a means of estimating the density or strength of soils and also of obtaining a relatively undisturbed sample. The test procedure is described in Australian Standard 1289, Methods of Testing Soils for Engineering Purposes - Test 6.3.1.

The test is carried out in a borehole by driving a 50 mm diameter split sample tube under the impact of a 63 kg hammer with a free fall of 760 mm. It is normal for the tube to be driven in three successive 150 mm increments and the 'N' value is taken as the number of blows for the last 300 mm. In dense sands, very hard clays or weak rock, the full 450 mm penetration may not be practicable and the test is discontinued.

The test results are reported in the following form.

- In the case where full penetration is obtained with successive blow counts for each 150 mm of, say, 4, 6 and 7 as:
4,6,7
N=13
- In the case where the test is discontinued before the full penetration depth, say after 15 blows for the first 150 mm and 30 blows for the next 40 mm as:
15, 30/40 mm

Sampling Methods

The results of the SPT tests can be related empirically to the engineering properties of the soils.

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Tests / Perth Sand Penetrometer Tests

Dynamic penetrometer tests (DCP or PSP) are carried out by driving a steel rod into the ground using a standard weight of hammer falling a specified distance. As the rod penetrates the soil the number of blows required to penetrate each successive 150 mm depth are recorded. Normally there is a depth limitation of 1.2 m, but this may be extended in certain conditions by the use of extension rods. Two types of penetrometer are commonly used.

- Perth sand penetrometer - a 16 mm diameter flat ended rod is driven using a 9 kg hammer dropping 600 mm (AS 1289, Test 6.3.3). This test was developed for testing the density of sands and is mainly used in granular soils and filling.
- Cone penetrometer - a 16 mm diameter rod with a 20 mm diameter cone end is driven using a 9 kg hammer dropping 510 mm (AS 1289, Test 6.3.2). This test was developed initially for pavement subgrade investigations, and correlations of the test results with California Bearing Ratio have been published by various road authorities.

Symbols & Abbreviations

Douglas Partners



Introduction

These notes summarise abbreviations commonly used on borehole logs and test pit reports.

Drilling or Excavation Methods

C	Core drilling
R	Rotary drilling
SFA	Spiral flight augers
NMLC	Diamond core - 52 mm dia
NQ	Diamond core - 47 mm dia
HQ	Diamond core - 63 mm dia
PQ	Diamond core - 81 mm dia

Water

▷	Water seep
▽	Water level

Sampling and Testing

A	Auger sample
B	Bulk sample
D	Disturbed sample
E	Environmental sample
U ₅₀	Undisturbed tube sample (50mm)
W	Water sample
pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)
PID	Photo ionisation detector
PL	Point load strength Is(50) MPa
S	Standard Penetration Test
V	Shear vane (kPa)

Description of Defects in Rock

The abbreviated descriptions of the defects should be in the following order: Depth, Type, Orientation, Coating, Shape, Roughness and Other. Drilling and handling breaks are not usually included on the logs.

Defect Type

B	Bedding plane
Cs	Clay seam
Cv	Cleavage
Cz	Crushed zone
Ds	Decomposed seam
F	Fault
J	Joint
Lam	Lamination
Pt	Parting
Sz	Sheared Zone
V	Vein

Orientation

The inclination of defects is always measured from the perpendicular to the core axis.

h	horizontal
v	vertical
sh	sub-horizontal
sv	sub-vertical

Coating or Infilling Term

cln	clean
co	coating
he	healed
inf	infilled
stn	stained
ti	tight
vn	veneer

Coating Descriptor

ca	calcite
cbs	carbonaceous
cly	clay
fe	iron oxide
mn	manganese
slt	silty

Shape

cu	curved
ir	irregular
pl	planar
st	stepped
un	undulating

Roughness

po	polished
ro	rough
sl	slickensided
sm	smooth
vr	very rough

Other

fg	fragmented
bnd	band
qtz	quartz




Symbols & Abbreviations

Graphic Symbols for Soil and Rock



General

	Asphalt
	Road base
	Concrete
	Filling




Soils

	Topsoil
	Peat
	Clay
	Silty clay
	Sandy clay
	Gravelly clay
	Shaly clay
	Silt
	Clayey silt
	Sandy silt
	Sand
	Clayey sand
	Silty sand
	Gravel
	Sandy gravel
	Cobbles, boulders
	Talus




Sedimentary Rocks

	Boulder conglomerate
	Conglomerate
	Conglomeratic sandstone
	Sandstone
	Siltstone
	Laminite
	Mudstone, claystone, shale
	Coal
	Limestone

Metamorphic Rocks

	Slate, phyllite, schist
	Gneiss
	Quartzite

Igneous Rocks

	Granite
	Dolerite, basalt, andesite
	Dacite, epidote
	Tuff, breccia
	Porphyry



Description and Classification Methods

The methods of description and classification of soils and rocks used in this report are generally based on Australian Standard AS1726:2017, Geotechnical Site Investigations. In general, the descriptions include strength or density, colour, structure, soil or rock type and inclusions.

Soil Types

Soil types are described according to the predominant particle size, qualified by the grading of other particles present:

Type	Particle size (mm)
Boulder	>200
Cobble	63 - 200
Gravel	2.36 - 63
Sand	0.075 - 2.36
Silt	0.002 - 0.075
Clay	<0.002

The sand and gravel sizes can be further subdivided as follows:

Type	Particle size (mm)
Coarse gravel	19 - 63
Medium gravel	6.7 - 19
Fine gravel	2.36 - 6.7
Coarse sand	0.6 - 2.36
Medium sand	0.21 - 0.6
Fine sand	0.075 - 0.21

Definitions of grading terms used are:

- Well graded - a good representation of all particle sizes
- Poorly graded - an excess or deficiency of particular sizes within the specified range
- Uniformly graded - an excess of a particular particle size
- Gap graded - a deficiency of a particular particle size with the range

The proportions of secondary constituents of soils are described as follows:

In fine grained soils (>35% fines)

Term	Proportion of sand or gravel	Example
And	Specify	Clay (60%) and Sand (40%)
Adjective	>30%	Sandy Clay
With	15 - 30%	Clay with sand
Trace	0 - 15%	Clay with trace sand

In coarse grained soils (>65% coarse)

- with clays or silts

Term	Proportion of fines	Example
And	Specify	Sand (70%) and Clay (30%)
Adjective	>12%	Clayey Sand
With	5 - 12%	Sand with clay
Trace	0 - 5%	Sand with trace clay

In coarse grained soils (>65% coarse)

- with coarser fraction

Term	Proportion of coarser fraction	Example
And	Specify	Sand (60%) and Gravel (40%)
Adjective	>30%	Gravelly Sand
With	15 - 30%	Sand with gravel
Trace	0 - 15%	Sand with trace gravel

The presence of cobbles and boulders shall be specifically noted by beginning the description with 'Mix of Soil and Cobbles/Boulders' with the word order indicating the dominant first and the proportion of cobbles and boulders described together.

Soil Descriptions

Cohesive Soils

Cohesive soils, such as clays, are classified on the basis of undrained shear strength. The strength may be measured by laboratory testing, or estimated by field tests or engineering examination. The strength terms are defined as follows:

Description	Abbreviation	Undrained shear strength (kPa)
Very soft	VS	<12
Soft	S	12 - 25
Firm	F	25 - 50
Stiff	St	50 - 100
Very stiff	VSt	100 - 200
Hard	H	>200
Friable	Fr	-

Cohesionless Soils

Cohesionless soils, such as clean sands, are classified on the basis of relative density, generally from the results of standard penetration tests (SPT), cone penetration tests (CPT) or dynamic penetrometers (PSP). The relative density terms are given below:

Relative Density	Abbreviation	Density Index (%)
Very loose	VL	<15
Loose	L	15-35
Medium dense	MD	35-65
Dense	D	65-85
Very dense	VD	>85

Soil Origin

It is often difficult to accurately determine the origin of a soil. Soils can generally be classified as:

- Residual soil - derived from in-situ weathering of the underlying rock;
- Extremely weathered material – formed from in-situ weathering of geological formations. Has soil strength but retains the structure or fabric of the parent rock;
- Alluvial soil – deposited by streams and rivers;

- Estuarine soil – deposited in coastal estuaries;
- Marine soil – deposited in a marine environment;
- Lacustrine soil – deposited in freshwater lakes;
- Aeolian soil – carried and deposited by wind;
- Colluvial soil – soil and rock debris transported down slopes by gravity;
- Topsoil – mantle of surface soil, often with high levels of organic material.
- Fill – any material which has been moved by man.

Moisture Condition – Coarse Grained Soils

For coarse grained soils the moisture condition should be described by appearance and feel using the following terms:

- Dry (D) Non-cohesive and free-running.
- Moist (M) Soil feels cool, darkened in colour.
Soil tends to stick together.
Sand forms weak ball but breaks easily.
- Wet (W) Soil feels cool, darkened in colour.
Soil tends to stick together, free water forms when handling.

Moisture Condition – Fine Grained Soils

For fine grained soils the assessment of moisture content is relative to their plastic limit or liquid limit, as follows:

- 'Moist, dry of plastic limit' or 'w < PL' (i.e. hard and friable or powdery).
- 'Moist, near plastic limit' or 'w ≈ PL' (i.e. soil can be moulded at moisture content approximately equal to the plastic limit).
- 'Moist, wet of plastic limit' or 'w > PL' (i.e. soils usually weakened and free water forms on the hands when handling).
- 'Wet' or 'w ≈ LL' (i.e. near the liquid limit).
- 'Wet' or 'w > LL' (i.e. wet of the liquid limit).



Rock Strength

Rock strength is defined by the Unconfined Compressive Strength and it refers to the strength of the rock substance and not the strength of the overall rock mass, which may be considerably weaker due to defects.

The Point Load Strength Index $Is_{(50)}$ is commonly used to provide an estimate of the rock strength and site specific correlations should be developed to allow UCS values to be determined. The point load strength test procedure is described by Australian Standard AS4133.4.1-2007. The terms used to describe rock strength are as follows:

Strength Term	Abbreviation	Unconfined Compressive Strength MPa	Point Load Index * $Is_{(50)}$ MPa
Very low	VL	0.6 - 2	0.03 - 0.1
Low	L	2 - 6	0.1 - 0.3
Medium	M	6 - 20	0.3 - 1.0
High	H	20 - 60	1 - 3
Very high	VH	60 - 200	3 - 10
Extremely high	EH	>200	>10

* Assumes a ratio of 20:1 for UCS to $Is_{(50)}$. It should be noted that the UCS to $Is_{(50)}$ ratio varies significantly for different rock types and specific ratios should be determined for each site.

Degree of Weathering

The degree of weathering of rock is classified as follows:

Term	Abbreviation	Description
Residual Soil	RS	Material is weathered to such an extent that it has soil properties. Mass structure and material texture and fabric of original rock are no longer visible, but the soil has not been significantly transported.
Extremely weathered	XW	Material is weathered to such an extent that it has soil properties. Mass structure and material texture and fabric of original rock are still visible
Highly weathered	HW	The whole of the rock material is discoloured, usually by iron staining or bleaching to the extent that the colour of the original rock is not recognisable. Rock strength is significantly changed by weathering. Some primary minerals have weathered to clay minerals. Porosity may be increased by leaching, or may be decreased due to deposition of weathering products in pores.
Moderately weathered	MW	The whole of the rock material is discoloured, usually by iron staining or bleaching to the extent that the colour of the original rock is not recognisable, but shows little or no change of strength from fresh rock.
Slightly weathered	SW	Rock is partially discoloured with staining or bleaching along joints but shows little or no change of strength from fresh rock.
Fresh	FR	No signs of decomposition or staining.
<i>Note: If HW and MW cannot be differentiated use DW (see below)</i>		
Distinctly weathered	DW	Rock strength usually changed by weathering. The rock may be highly discoloured, usually by iron staining. Porosity may be increased by leaching or may be decreased due to deposition of weathered products in pores.

Rock Descriptions

Degree of Fracturing

The following classification applies to the spacing of natural fractures in diamond drill cores. It includes bedding plane partings, joints and other defects, but excludes drilling breaks.

Term	Description
Fragmented	Fragments of <20 mm
Highly Fractured	Core lengths of 20–40 mm with occasional fragments
Fractured	Core lengths of 30–100 mm with occasional shorter and longer sections
Slightly Fractured	Core lengths of 300 mm or longer with occasional sections of 100–300 mm
Unbroken	Core contains very few fractures

Rock Quality Designation

The quality of the cored rock can be measured using the Rock Quality Designation (RQD) index, defined as:

$$\text{RQD \%} = \frac{\text{cumulative length of 'sound' core sections} \geq 100 \text{ mm long}}{\text{total drilled length of section being assessed}}$$

where 'sound' rock is assessed to be rock of low strength or stronger. The RQD applies only to natural fractures. If the core is broken by drilling or handling (i.e. drilling breaks) then the broken pieces are fitted back together and are not included in the calculation of RQD.

Stratification Spacing

For sedimentary rocks the following terms may be used to describe the spacing of bedding partings:

Term	Separation of Stratification Planes
Thinly laminated	< 6 mm
Laminated	6 mm to 20 mm
Very thinly bedded	20 mm to 60 mm
Thinly bedded	60 mm to 0.2 m
Medium bedded	0.2 m to 0.6 m
Thickly bedded	0.6 m to 2 m
Very thickly bedded	> 2 m

Table 1 - Results of Water Analysis (All results in µg/L unless otherwise stated)

Sample ID	Heavy Metals (µg/l)											PAH ² (µg/l)					TRH (µg/l)		BTEX (µg/l)			
	Arsenic	Bromine	Cadmium	Chromium	Copper	Iron	Lead	Manganese	Mercury	Nickel	Zinc	Napthalene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene	Fluoranthene	Benzo(a)pyrene	C6-C9	C10-C36	Benzene	Toulene	Ethyl-benzene	Total Xylenes
Groundwater Investigation Levels (GIL)																						
ADWG (2019) Drinking Water Guidelines	10	-	2	50 ^a	2000	-	10		1	20	3000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	800	300	600
ANZG (2018) DGV, Freshwater 95% protection	24 / 13 ^c	-	0.2	1	1.4	-	3.4	1,900	0.06*	11	8	16	0.4	0.6*	1.0*	0.1*	-	-	950	180	80	75 ^d
Results -September 2019																						
GW1	3	28,000	0.3	<1	4	100	<1	1,700	<0.05	12	24	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<10	<100	<1	<1	<1	<2
GW2	2	22,000	<0.1	<1	<1	45	<1	640	<0.05	5	12	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<10	<100	<1	<1	<1	<2
GW3	<1	14,000	0.1	<1	7	<10	<1	520	<0.05	2	26	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<10	<100	<1	<1	<1	<2
GW4	<1	23,000	<0.1	<1	3	350	<1	970	<0.05	3	17	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<10	<100	<1	<1	<1	<2

Notes:

- 1 All Chromium are assumed to exist in the stable Cr(III) oxidation state, as Cr(VI) will be too reactive and unstable under the normal environment
- 2 Only those compounds for which GILs have been determined are included in the list
- a Given as Cr (VI)
- b Limit as below identification
- c As (III) and As (V) respectively
- d as m-Xylene
- * 99% Level of protection adopted due to potential for bioaccumulation or to protect key species from chronic toxicity
- ** Corresponding to an unknown level of protection
- not defined/ not analysed/ not applicable
- ANZG (2018) ANZG 2018. Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality. Australian and New Zealand Governments and Australian state and territory governments, Canberra ACT, Australia.
- ADWG (2019) NRMCC (2011) Australian Drinking Water Guidelines Paper 6 National Water Quality Management Strategy. National Health and Medical Research Council, National Resource Management Ministerial Council, Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS 238591

Client Details

Client	Douglas Partners Pty Ltd Smeaton Grange
Attention	Erin Leslie
Address	18 Waler Crescent, Smeaton Grange, NSW, 2567

Sample Details

Your Reference	<u>92225.04, Cobbity SWP Prelim</u>
Number of Samples	8 water
Date samples received	10/03/2020
Date completed instructions received	10/03/2020

Analysis Details

Please refer to the following pages for results, methodology summary and quality control data.
 Samples were analysed as received from the client. Results relate specifically to the samples as received.
 Results are reported on a dry weight basis for solids and on an as received basis for other matrices.
Please refer to the last page of this report for any comments relating to the results.

Report Details

Date results requested by	17/03/2020
Date of Issue	17/03/2020
NATA Accreditation Number 2901. This document shall not be reproduced except in full.	
Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. Tests not covered by NATA are denoted with *	

Results Approved By

Josh Williams, Senior Chemist
 Loren Bardwell, Senior Chemist
 Priya Samarawickrama, Senior Chemist

Authorised By



Nancy Zhang, Laboratory Manager

vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Water

Our Reference		238591-1	238591-2	238591-3	238591-4	238591-5
Your Reference	UNITS	1	2	3	4	TB
Date Sampled		10/03/2020	10/03/2020	10/03/2020	10/03/2020	10/03/2020
Type of sample		water	water	water	water	water
Date extracted	-	12/03/2020	12/03/2020	12/03/2020	12/03/2020	12/03/2020
Date analysed	-	12/03/2020	12/03/2020	12/03/2020	12/03/2020	12/03/2020
TRH C ₆ - C ₉	µg/L	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀	µg/L	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀ less BTEX (F1)	µg/L	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Benzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Toluene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
m+p-xylene	µg/L	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
o-xylene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Naphthalene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Surrogate Dibromofluoromethane	%	107	108	108	110	98
Surrogate toluene-d8	%	99	98	100	100	98
Surrogate 4-BFB	%	103	104	106	105	101

vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Water

Our Reference		238591-6
Your Reference	UNITS	TS
Date Sampled		10/03/2020
Type of sample		water
Date extracted	-	12/03/2020
Date analysed	-	12/03/2020
Benzene	µg/L	106%
Toluene	µg/L	103%
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	100%
m+p-xylene	µg/L	95%
o-xylene	µg/L	101%
Surrogate Dibromofluoromethane	%	104
Surrogate toluene-d8	%	101
Surrogate 4-BFB	%	102

svTRH (C10-C40) in Water					
Our Reference		238591-1	238591-2	238591-3	238591-4
Your Reference	UNITS	1	2	3	4
Date Sampled		10/03/2020	10/03/2020	10/03/2020	10/03/2020
Type of sample		water	water	water	water
Date extracted	-	12/03/2020	12/03/2020	12/03/2020	12/03/2020
Date analysed	-	13/03/2020	13/03/2020	13/03/2020	13/03/2020
TRH C ₁₀ - C ₁₄	µg/L	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH C ₁₅ - C ₂₈	µg/L	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRH C ₂₉ - C ₃₆	µg/L	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRH >C ₁₀ - C ₁₆	µg/L	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C ₁₀ - C ₁₆ less Naphthalene (F2)	µg/L	<50	<50	<50	<50
TRH >C ₁₆ - C ₃₄	µg/L	<100	<100	<100	<100
TRH >C ₃₄ - C ₄₀	µg/L	<100	<100	<100	<100
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%	108	136	103	113

PAHs in Water						
Our Reference		238591-1	238591-2	238591-3	238591-4	238591-7
Your Reference	UNITS	1	2	3	4	D1
Date Sampled		10/03/2020	10/03/2020	10/03/2020	10/03/2020	10/03/2020
Type of sample		water	water	water	water	water
Date extracted	-	12/03/2020	12/03/2020	12/03/2020	12/03/2020	12/03/2020
Date analysed	-	13/03/2020	13/03/2020	13/03/2020	13/03/2020	13/03/2020
Naphthalene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Acenaphthylene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Acenaphthene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Fluorene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Phenanthrene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Anthracene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Fluoranthene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Pyrene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Benzo(a)anthracene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Chrysene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	µg/L	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Benzo(a)pyrene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ	µg/L	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
Total +ve PAH's	µg/L	NIL (+)VE	NIL (+)VE	NIL (+)VE	NIL (+)VE	NIL (+)VE
Surrogate <i>p</i> -Terphenyl-d14	%	107	101	78	96	91

PAHs in Water		
Our Reference		238591-8
Your Reference	UNITS	D2
Date Sampled		10/03/2020
Type of sample		water
Date extracted	-	12/03/2020
Date analysed	-	13/03/2020
Naphthalene	µg/L	<1
Acenaphthylene	µg/L	<1
Acenaphthene	µg/L	<1
Fluorene	µg/L	<1
Phenanthrene	µg/L	<1
Anthracene	µg/L	<1
Fluoranthene	µg/L	<1
Pyrene	µg/L	<1
Benzo(a)anthracene	µg/L	<1
Chrysene	µg/L	<1
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	µg/L	<2
Benzo(a)pyrene	µg/L	<1
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	µg/L	<1
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	µg/L	<1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	µg/L	<1
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ	µg/L	<5
Total +ve PAH's	µg/L	NIL (+)VE
Surrogate <i>p</i> -Terphenyl-d14	%	89

HM in water - dissolved						
Our Reference		238591-1	238591-2	238591-3	238591-4	238591-7
Your Reference	UNITS	1	2	3	4	D1
Date Sampled		10/03/2020	10/03/2020	10/03/2020	10/03/2020	10/03/2020
Type of sample		water	water	water	water	water
Date prepared	-	12/03/2020	12/03/2020	12/03/2020	12/03/2020	12/03/2020
Date analysed	-	12/03/2020	12/03/2020	12/03/2020	12/03/2020	12/03/2020
Aluminium-Dissolved	µg/L	370	30	10	10	10
Arsenic-Dissolved	µg/L	3	2	<1	<1	2
Bromine-Dissolved	µg/L	28,000	22,000	14,000	23,000	2,800
Cadmium-Dissolved	µg/L	0.3	<0.1	0.1	<0.1	0.4
Chromium-Dissolved	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Copper-Dissolved	µg/L	4	<1	7	3	<1
Iron-Dissolved	µg/L	100	45	<10	350	<10
Lead-Dissolved	µg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Manganese-Dissolved	µg/L	1,700	640	520	970	500
Mercury-Dissolved	µg/L	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Nickel-Dissolved	µg/L	12	5	2	3	4
Zinc-Dissolved	µg/L	24	12	26	17	24

HM in water - dissolved		
Our Reference		238591-8
Your Reference	UNITS	D2
Date Sampled		10/03/2020
Type of sample		water
Date prepared	-	12/03/2020
Date analysed	-	12/03/2020
Aluminium-Dissolved	µg/L	10
Arsenic-Dissolved	µg/L	2
Bromine-Dissolved	µg/L	2,300
Cadmium-Dissolved	µg/L	0.1
Chromium-Dissolved	µg/L	<1
Copper-Dissolved	µg/L	<1
Iron-Dissolved	µg/L	20
Lead-Dissolved	µg/L	<1
Manganese-Dissolved	µg/L	600
Mercury-Dissolved	µg/L	<0.05
Nickel-Dissolved	µg/L	5
Zinc-Dissolved	µg/L	18

Miscellaneous Inorganics					
Our Reference		238591-1	238591-2	238591-3	238591-4
Your Reference	UNITS	1	2	3	4
Date Sampled		10/03/2020	10/03/2020	10/03/2020	10/03/2020
Type of sample		water	water	water	water
Date prepared	-	10/03/2020	10/03/2020	10/03/2020	10/03/2020
Date analysed	-	10/03/2020	10/03/2020	10/03/2020	10/03/2020
pH	pH Units	7.0	7.1	7.2	6.9
Electrical Conductivity	µS/cm	29,000	24,000	20,000	25,000

Ion Balance					
Our Reference		238591-1	238591-2	238591-3	238591-4
Your Reference	UNITS	1	2	3	4
Date Sampled		10/03/2020	10/03/2020	10/03/2020	10/03/2020
Type of sample		water	water	water	water
Date prepared	-	11/03/2020	11/03/2020	11/03/2020	11/03/2020
Date analysed	-	11/03/2020	11/03/2020	11/03/2020	11/03/2020
Calcium - Dissolved	mg/L	210	230	130	160
Potassium - Dissolved	mg/L	31	30	33	23
Sodium - Dissolved	mg/L	5,400	4,900	4,200	5,200
Magnesium - Dissolved	mg/L	1,100	810	600	820
Hydroxide Alkalinity (OH ⁻) as CaCO ₃	mg/L	<5	<5	<5	<5
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	820	1,200	1,400	950
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	<5	<5	<5	<5
Total Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	820	1,200	1,400	950
Sulphate, SO ₄	mg/L	1,100	860	940	1,100
Chloride, Cl	mg/L	11,000	9,000	7,100	9,300

Method ID	Methodology Summary
Inorg-001	pH - Measured using pH meter and electrode in accordance with APHA latest edition, 4500-H+. Please note that the results for water analyses are indicative only, as analysis outside of the APHA storage times.
Inorg-002	Conductivity and Salinity - measured using a conductivity cell at 25°C in accordance with APHA latest edition 2510 and Rayment & Lyons.
Inorg-006	Alkalinity - determined titrimetrically in accordance with APHA latest edition, 2320-B.
Inorg-081	Anions - a range of Anions are determined by Ion Chromatography, in accordance with APHA latest edition, 4110-B. Waters samples are filtered on receipt prior to analysis. Alternatively determined by colourimetry/turbidity using Discrete Analyser.
Metals-020	Determination of various metals by ICP-AES.
Metals-021	Determination of Mercury by Cold Vapour AAS.
Metals-022	Determination of various metals by ICP-MS.
Org-003	Soil samples are extracted with Dichloromethane/Acetone and waters with Dichloromethane and analysed by GC-FID. F2 = (>C10-C16)-Naphthalene as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater (HSLs Tables 1A (3, 4)). Note Naphthalene is determined from the VOC analysis.
Org-012/017	Soil samples are extracted with Dichloromethane/Acetone and waters with Dichloromethane and analysed by GC-MS and/or GC-MS/MS. Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater - 2013.
Org-013	Water samples are analysed directly by purge and trap GC-MS.
Org-016	Soil samples are extracted with methanol and spiked into water prior to analysing by purge and trap GC-MS. Water samples are analysed directly by purge and trap GC-MS. F1 = (C6-C10)-BTEX as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater.

QUALITY CONTROL: vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Water					Duplicate				Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W1	[NT]
Date extracted	-			12/03/2020	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	12/03/2020	[NT]
Date analysed	-			12/03/2020	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	12/03/2020	[NT]
TRH C ₆ - C ₉	µg/L	10	Org-016	<10	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	98	[NT]
TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀	µg/L	10	Org-016	<10	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	98	[NT]
Benzene	µg/L	1	Org-016	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	100	[NT]
Toluene	µg/L	1	Org-016	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	101	[NT]
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	1	Org-016	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	95	[NT]
m+p-xylene	µg/L	2	Org-016	<2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	97	[NT]
o-xylene	µg/L	1	Org-016	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	96	[NT]
Naphthalene	µg/L	1	Org-013	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate Dibromofluoromethane	%		Org-016	104	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	103	[NT]
Surrogate toluene-d8	%		Org-016	101	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	99	[NT]
Surrogate 4-BFB	%		Org-016	103	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	102	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: svTRH (C10-C40) in Water					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W1	[NT]
Date extracted	-			12/03/2020	3	12/03/2020	12/03/2020		12/03/2020	[NT]
Date analysed	-			13/03/2020	3	13/03/2020	13/03/2020		13/03/2020	[NT]
TRH C ₁₀ - C ₁₄	µg/L	50	Org-003	<50	3	<50	<50	0	133	[NT]
TRH C ₁₅ - C ₂₈	µg/L	100	Org-003	<100	3	<100	<100	0	113	[NT]
TRH C ₂₉ - C ₃₆	µg/L	100	Org-003	<100	3	<100	<100	0	116	[NT]
TRH >C ₁₀ - C ₁₆	µg/L	50	Org-003	<50	3	<50	<50	0	133	[NT]
TRH >C ₁₆ - C ₃₄	µg/L	100	Org-003	<100	3	<100	<100	0	113	[NT]
TRH >C ₃₄ - C ₄₀	µg/L	100	Org-003	<100	3	<100	<100	0	116	[NT]
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%		Org-003	108	3	103	107	4	107	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: PAHs in Water						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W2	238591-2
Date extracted	-			12/03/2020	1	12/03/2020	12/03/2020		12/03/2020	12/03/2020
Date analysed	-			13/03/2020	1	13/03/2020	13/03/2020		13/03/2020	13/03/2020
Naphthalene	µg/L	1	Org-012/017	<1	1	<1	<1	0	98	110
Acenaphthylene	µg/L	1	Org-012/017	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Acenaphthene	µg/L	1	Org-012/017	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Fluorene	µg/L	1	Org-012/017	<1	1	<1	<1	0	94	110
Phenanthrene	µg/L	1	Org-012/017	<1	1	<1	<1	0	96	80
Anthracene	µg/L	1	Org-012/017	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Fluoranthene	µg/L	1	Org-012/017	<1	1	<1	<1	0	94	78
Pyrene	µg/L	1	Org-012/017	<1	1	<1	<1	0	88	82
Benzo(a)anthracene	µg/L	1	Org-012/017	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chrysene	µg/L	1	Org-012/017	<1	1	<1	<1	0	140	84
Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene	µg/L	2	Org-012/017	<2	1	<2	<2	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(a)pyrene	µg/L	1	Org-012/017	<1	1	<1	<1	0	88	90
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	µg/L	1	Org-012/017	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	µg/L	1	Org-012/017	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	µg/L	1	Org-012/017	<1	1	<1	<1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate p-Terphenyl-d14	%		Org-012/017	91	1	107	108	1	88	112

QUALITY CONTROL: HM in water - dissolved					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W4	238591-2
Date prepared	-			12/03/2020	1	12/03/2020	12/03/2020		12/03/2020	12/03/2020
Date analysed	-			12/03/2020	1	12/03/2020	12/03/2020		12/03/2020	12/03/2020
Aluminium-Dissolved	µg/L	10	Metals-022	<10	1	370	390	5	97	[NT]
Arsenic-Dissolved	µg/L	1	Metals-022	<1	1	3	3	0	96	[NT]
Bromine-Dissolved	µg/L	10	Metals-022	<10	1	28000	[NT]		96	[NT]
Cadmium-Dissolved	µg/L	0.1	Metals-022	<0.1	1	0.3	0.1	100	95	[NT]
Chromium-Dissolved	µg/L	1	Metals-022	<1	1	<1	<1	0	99	[NT]
Copper-Dissolved	µg/L	1	Metals-022	<1	1	4	4	0	104	[NT]
Iron-Dissolved	µg/L	10	Metals-022	<10	1	100	110	10	98	[NT]
Lead-Dissolved	µg/L	1	Metals-022	<1	1	<1	<1	0	103	[NT]
Manganese-Dissolved	µg/L	5	Metals-022	<5	1	1700	1700	0	94	[NT]
Mercury-Dissolved	µg/L	0.05	Metals-021	<0.05	1	<0.05	<0.05	0	95	91
Nickel-Dissolved	µg/L	1	Metals-022	<1	1	12	12	0	99	[NT]
Zinc-Dissolved	µg/L	1	Metals-022	<1	1	24	23	4	97	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: HM in water - dissolved					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	238591-4
Date prepared	-			[NT]	3	12/03/2020	12/03/2020		[NT]	12/03/2020
Date analysed	-			[NT]	3	12/03/2020	12/03/2020		[NT]	12/03/2020
Aluminium-Dissolved	µg/L	10	Metals-022	[NT]	3	10	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]
Arsenic-Dissolved	µg/L	1	Metals-022	[NT]	3	<1	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]
Bromine-Dissolved	µg/L	10	Metals-022	[NT]	3	14000	14000	0	[NT]	#
Cadmium-Dissolved	µg/L	0.1	Metals-022	[NT]	3	0.1	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]
Chromium-Dissolved	µg/L	1	Metals-022	[NT]	3	<1	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]
Copper-Dissolved	µg/L	1	Metals-022	[NT]	3	7	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]
Iron-Dissolved	µg/L	10	Metals-022	[NT]	3	<10	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]
Lead-Dissolved	µg/L	1	Metals-022	[NT]	3	<1	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]
Manganese-Dissolved	µg/L	5	Metals-022	[NT]	3	520	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]
Mercury-Dissolved	µg/L	0.05	Metals-021	[NT]	3	<0.05	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]
Nickel-Dissolved	µg/L	1	Metals-022	[NT]	3	2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]
Zinc-Dissolved	µg/L	1	Metals-022	[NT]	3	26	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: Miscellaneous Inorganics						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W1	[NT]
Date prepared	-			10/03/2020	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	10/03/2020	[NT]
Date analysed	-			10/03/2020	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	10/03/2020	[NT]
pH	pH Units		Inorg-001	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	101	[NT]
Electrical Conductivity	µS/cm	1	Inorg-002	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	106	[NT]

QUALITY CONTROL: Ion Balance					Duplicate				Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W1	[NT]
Date prepared	-			11/03/2020	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	11/03/2020	[NT]
Date analysed	-			11/03/2020	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	11/03/2020	[NT]
Calcium - Dissolved	mg/L	0.5	Metals-020	<0.5	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	94	[NT]
Potassium - Dissolved	mg/L	0.5	Metals-020	<0.5	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	92	[NT]
Sodium - Dissolved	mg/L	0.5	Metals-020	<0.5	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	91	[NT]
Magnesium - Dissolved	mg/L	0.5	Metals-020	<0.5	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	96	[NT]
Hydroxide Alkalinity (OH ⁻) as CaCO ₃	mg/L	5	Inorg-006	<5	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	5	Inorg-006	<5	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	5	Inorg-006	<5	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Total Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	5	Inorg-006	<5	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	104	[NT]
Sulphate, SO4	mg/L	1	Inorg-081	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	108	[NT]
Chloride, Cl	mg/L	1	Inorg-081	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	106	[NT]

Result Definitions

NT	Not tested
NA	Test not required
INS	Insufficient sample for this test
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
<	Less than
>	Greater than
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
NS	Not specified
NEPM	National Environmental Protection Measure
NR	Not Reported

Quality Control Definitions

Blank	This is the component of the analytical signal which is not derived from the sample but from reagents, glassware etc, can be determined by processing solvents and reagents in exactly the same manner as for samples.
Duplicate	This is the complete duplicate analysis of a sample from the process batch. If possible, the sample selected should be one where the analyte concentration is easily measurable.
Matrix Spike	A portion of the sample is spiked with a known concentration of target analyte. The purpose of the matrix spike is to monitor the performance of the analytical method used and to determine whether matrix interferences exist.
LCS (Laboratory Control Sample)	This comprises either a standard reference material or a control matrix (such as a blank sand or water) fortified with analytes representative of the analyte class. It is simply a check sample.
Surrogate Spike	Surrogates are known additions to each sample, blank, matrix spike and LCS in a batch, of compounds which are similar to the analyte of interest, however are not expected to be found in real samples.
Australian Drinking Water Guidelines recommend that Thermotolerant Coliform, Faecal Enterococci, & E.Coli levels are less than 1cfu/100mL. The recommended maximums are taken from "Australian Drinking Water Guidelines", published by NHMRC & ARMC 2011.	
The recommended maximums for analytes in urine are taken from "2018 TLVs and BEIs", as published by ACGIH (where available). Limit provided for Nickel is a precautionary guideline as per Position Paper prepared by AIOH Exposure Standards Committee, 2016.	
Guideline limits for Rinse Water Quality reported as per analytical requirements and specifications of AS 4187, Amdt 2 2019, Table 7.2	

Laboratory Acceptance Criteria

Duplicate sample and matrix spike recoveries may not be reported on smaller jobs, however, were analysed at a frequency to meet or exceed NEPM requirements. All samples are tested in batches of 20. The duplicate sample RPD and matrix spike recoveries for the batch were within the laboratory acceptance criteria.

Filters, swabs, wipes, tubes and badges will not have duplicate data as the whole sample is generally extracted during sample extraction.

Spikes for Physical and Aggregate Tests are not applicable.

For VOCs in water samples, three vials are required for duplicate or spike analysis.

Duplicates: >10xPQL - RPD acceptance criteria will vary depending on the analytes and the analytical techniques but is typically in the range 20%-50% – see ELN-P05 QA/QC tables for details; <10xPQL - RPD are higher as the results approach PQL and the estimated measurement uncertainty will statistically increase.

Matrix Spikes, LCS and Surrogate recoveries: Generally 70-130% for inorganics/metals (not SPOCAS); 60-140% for organics/SPOCAS (+/-50% surrogates) and 10-140% for labile SVOCs (including labile surrogates), ultra trace organics and speciated phenols is acceptable.

In circumstances where no duplicate and/or sample spike has been reported at 1 in 10 and/or 1 in 20 samples respectively, the sample volume submitted was insufficient in order to satisfy laboratory QA/QC protocols.

When samples are received where certain analytes are outside of recommended technical holding times (THTs), the analysis has proceeded. Where analytes are on the verge of breaching THTs, every effort will be made to analyse within the THT or as soon as practicable.

Where sampling dates are not provided, Envirolab are not in a position to comment on the validity of the analysis where recommended technical holding times may have been breached.

Measurement Uncertainty estimates are available for most tests upon request.

Analysis of aqueous samples typically involves the extraction/digestion and/or analysis of the liquid phase only (i.e. NOT any settled sediment phase but inclusive of suspended particles if present), unless stipulated on the Envirolab COC and/or by correspondence. Notable exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, total recoverable metals and PFAS where solids are included by default.

Samples for Microbiological analysis (not Amoeba forms) received outside of the 2-8°C temperature range do not meet the ideal cooling conditions as stated in AS2031-2012.

Report Comments

8 HM in water - dissolved - # Percent recovery is not possible to report due to the high concentration of the element/s in the sample/s. However an acceptable recovery was obtained for the LCS.

Project No:						92225.04								
Suburb:						South Creek West, Cobbit								
Order Number														
Attn:						Tania Notaras								
Phone:						(02) 9910 6200								
Email:						tnotaras@envirolabservices.com.au								
Date Required:						Same day ☐ 24 hours ☐ 48 hours ☐ 72 hours ☐ Standard [X]								
Prior Storage:						☐ Esky ☐ Fridge ☐ Shelved Do samples contain 'potential' HBM? Yes ☐ No ☐ (If YES, then handle, transport and store in accordance with FPM HAZID)								
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Sample Type	Container Type	Analytes									Notes/preservation
			S - soil W - water	G - glass P - plastic	Metals	TRH	BTEX	PAH	Anions and Cations	pH	EC			
1	1	03/10/20	W	G, P	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			Please test the following
2	2	03/10/20	W	G, P	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			metals:
3	3	03/10/20	W	G, P	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			Al, As, Br, Cd, Cr, Cu,
4	4	03/10/20	W	G, P	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			Fe, Pb, Mn, Hg, Ni, Zn
TB	5	03/10/20	W	G, P	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			
TS	6	03/10/20	W	G, P	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			Please apply suite
D1	7	"	"	"	X			X						pricing if applicable
D2	8	"	"	"	X			X						If possible, please report D1 ,
														TB and TS with
														92336.02
PQL (S) mg/kg														ANZECC PQLs req'd for all water analytes ☐
PQL = practical quantitation limit. If none given, default to Laboratory Method Detection Limit										Lab Report/Reference No: 238591				
Metals to Analyse: 8HM unless specified here:										Total number of samples in container:				
Relinquished by: [Signature]										Transported to laboratory by:				
Send Results to: Douglas Partners Pty Ltd										Address:				
Signed:										Received by: [Signature] Date & Time: 10/03/2020 17:20				

SAMPLE RECEIPT ADVICE

Client Details

Client	Douglas Partners Pty Ltd Smeaton Grange
Attention	Erin Leslie

Sample Login Details

Your reference	92225.04, Cobbity SWP Prelim
Envirolab Reference	238591
Date Sample Received	10/03/2020
Date Instructions Received	10/03/2020
Date Results Expected to be Reported	17/03/2020

Sample Condition

Samples received in appropriate condition for analysis	Yes
No. of Samples Provided	8 water
Turnaround Time Requested	Standard
Temperature on Receipt (°C)	15.7
Cooling Method	Ice
Sampling Date Provided	YES

Comments

Nil

Please direct any queries to:

Aileen Hie	Jacinta Hurst
Phone: 02 9910 6200	Phone: 02 9910 6200
Fax: 02 9910 6201	Fax: 02 9910 6201
Email: ahie@envirolab.com.au	Email: jhurst@envirolab.com.au

Analysis Underway, details on the following page:

Sample ID	vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Water	svTRH (C10-C40) in Water	PAHsin Water	HM in water - dissolved	pH	Electrical Conductivity	Calcium - Dissolved	Potassium - Dissolved	Sodium - Dissolved	Magnesium - Dissolved	Hydroxide Alkalinity (OH-) as CaCO3	Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	Total Alkalinity as CaCO3	Sulphate, SO4	Chloride, Cl
1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
TB	✓															
TS	✓															
D1			✓	✓												
D2			✓	✓												

The '✓' indicates the testing you have requested. **THIS IS NOT A REPORT OF THE RESULTS.**

Additional Info

Sample storage - Waters are routinely disposed of approximately 1 month and soils approximately 2 months from receipt.

Requests for longer term sample storage must be received in writing.

Please contact the laboratory immediately if observed settled sediment present in water samples is to be included in the extraction and/or analysis (exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, Total Recoverable metals and PFAS analysis where solids are included by default.

TAT for Micro is dependent on incubation. This varies from 3 to 6 days.